

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

NFIRMATION NO.	
4828	
FISCHMANN, BRYAN R	
APER NUMBER	
3	
3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/066,883

Applicant(s)

DeCARLO

Examiner

Bryan Fischmann

Art Unit 3618



	<u> </u>			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the corresp	oondence address	
Period for Reply				
THE N - Extensi mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed a e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.	ofter SIX (6) MONTHS from the considered timely. If date of this communication. C. § 133).	
Status	,			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 4, 200	02		
2a) 🗆	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This action	on is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims	·		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are	pending in the application.	
4	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	·	s/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		s/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	i	s/are objected to.	
8) 💢	Claims 1-13			
Application Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected	d to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
11)	1) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some* c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm	nent(s)			
. —	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N		
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:		

Application/Control Number: 10/066,883 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I - golf cart with a pair of rear wheels (Figures 1-7).

Species II - golf cart with a single rear wheel (Figures 8-12).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 6, 7, 12 and 13 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/066,883 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to William Noonan on or about 3-26-2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Fischmann whose telephone number is (703) 306-5955.

BRYAN FISCHMANN
PATENT EXAMINER